1	Manuscript	category:	Clinical	Original

2	Title: Quick detection of causative bacteria in cases of acute cholangitis and
3	cholecystitis using a multichannel gene autoanalyzer
4	Authors: Ryutaro Watanabe [1-3], Koji Asai [1], Makoto Kuroda [2], Manabu
5	Kujiraoka [1], Tsuyoshi Sekizuka [2], Miwa Katagiri [1], Nanako Kakizaki [1],
6	Hodaka Moriyama [1], Manabu Watanabe [1], Yoshihisa Saida [1]
7	Affiliated institute:
8	1. Department of Surgery, Toho University Ohashi Medical Center, Tokyo, Japan
9	2. Laboratory of Bacterial Genomics, Pathogen Genomics Center, National
10	Institute of Infectious Diseases, Tokyo, Japan
11	3. Department of Clinical Oncology, Toho University Graduate School, Tokyo,
12	Japan
13	Corresponding author: Koji Asai, Department of Surgery, Toho University
14	Ohashi Medical Center, 2-22-36 Ohashi, Meguro-ku, Tokyo 153-8515, Japan
15	Telephone number: +81-3-3468-1251, Fax number: +81-3-5433-3091, E-mail
16	address: k-asai@mvg.biglobe.ne.jp
17	Words count: 3291

Tables count: 6

1	Keywords:	Acute	cholangitis,	Acute	cholecystitis,	Antimicrobial	resistance,
2	Multichanne	l gene a	autoanalyzer				
3							
4							
5							
6							
7							
8							
9							
10							
11							
12							
13							
14							
15							
16							
17							
18							

2

1 ABSTRACT

2 Purposes

3	Acute cholangitis and	cholecystitis can become	e severe conditions as a l	result
---	-----------------------	--------------------------	----------------------------	--------

- 4 of inappropriate therapeutic administration and also become increasingly
- 5 resistant to antimicrobial treatment. Simultaneous detection of the bacterial
- 6 nucleic acid and antimicrobial resistance gene is covered by insurance in Japan
- 7 for sepsis. In this study, we evaluate the use of a multichannel gene
- 8 autoanalyzer (Verigene system) for the quick detection of causative bacteria in
- 9 cases of acute cholangitis and cholecystitis.

10 Methods

- 11 This study included 108 patients diagnosed with acute cholangitis or
- 12 cholecystitis between June 2015 and November 2018. Bacterial culture test and

13 Verigene assay were used to evaluate the bile samples.

14 **Results**

- 15 The most commonly isolated bacteria were *Escherichia coli* (23.1%), which
- 16 includes six extended-spectrum beta-lactamase (ESBL)-producing *E. coli*.
- 17 Among patients with positive bile cultures, bacteria were detected in 35.7% of
- 18 cases via the Verigene system. The detection rates of the Verigene system

1	significantly increased when the number of bacterial colonies was $\ge 10^6$ colony-
2	forming unit (CFU)/mL (58.1%). Cases with a maximum colony quantity of $\ge 10^6$
3	CFU/mL exhibited higher inflammation, suggesting the presence of bacterial
4	infection.
5	Conclusions
6	The Verigene system might be a new method for the quick detection of causative
7	bacteria in patients with infectious acute cholangitis and cholecystitis.
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	

1 Introduction

2	Acute cholangitis and cholecystitis are acute inflammatory diseases of the
3	gallbladder or bile duct [1, 2]. Antimicrobial therapy plays an important role in
4	managing patients with acute cholangitis and cholecystitis. However, the
5	emergence of antimicrobial resistance (AMR) among clinical isolates has
6	significantly affected the selection of empirical therapy for patients with intra-
7	abdominal infections, including acute cholangitis and cholecystitis [3].
8	The Tokyo Guidelines 2018 (TG18) were published to provide guidance on the
9	management of acute cholangitis and cholecystitis [1, 2]. The TG18 recommend
10	bile culture to detect causative bacteria and AMR [[3]. However, these tests
11	typically take about 5 days to confirm antimicrobial susceptibility results.
12	Therefore, we commonly select for initial empirical therapy based on the TG18
13	recommendation. However, the increase in the incidence of AMR of
14	Enterobacteriaceae has recently become a global problem [4]. Reports have
15	indicated that the proportion of extended-spectrum beta-lactamase (ESBL)-
16	producing Escherichia coli in Japan in all community-acquired and healthcare-
17	associated infections were 10.6% and 10.7%, respectively [5]. In Korea, ESBL-
18	producing <i>E. coli</i> accounted for 30.4% of <i>E. coli</i> cultured from patients with

1	acute cholangitis who underwent biliary drainage at an university medical center
2	[6]. In medical university hospitals in India, a total of 66% of <i>E. coli</i> were ESBL-
3	producing strains [7]. Moreover, in a university hospital in France, a total of 17%
4	of patients were found to be carriers of ESBL-producing Enterobacteriaceae [8].
5	Therefore, the incidence of resistant bacteria is predicted to further increase
6	globally in the near future, and selecting antimicrobials as empirical therapy will
7	be difficult for such cases.
8	At the General Assembly of the World Health Organization (WHO) in May
9	2015, it was reported that the AMR affects all areas of health, including longer
10	illnesses, increased mortality, prolonged hospital stays, loss of protection for
11	patients undergoing operations, and other medical procedures, and that it
12	impacts the society as a whole [9]. Hence, developing reagents that can rapidly
13	and efficiently diagnose infections is needed. In addition, WHO member
14	countries are required to develop a national action plan to deal with AMR. In
15	Japan, a plan was devised in April 2016 to optimize the administration of
16	antimicrobial agents and reduce AMR [10].
17	In our previous study [11], we performed metagenomic analysis and next-
18	generation DNA sequencing of bile samples from patients who underwent

1	cholecystectomy for acute cholecystitis and were able to comprehensively
2	determine the causative bacteria. Metagenomic analysis has been reported to
3	be a new method for detecting the etiological agents of an infectious disease
4	[12]. Pathogens can be inferred by directly sequencing millions of DNA/RNA
5	molecules in specimens and matching that sequence in a database [13]. This
6	method enables the identification of potential causative bacteria and AMR
7	genes within 2 days. However, a time course of more than 2 days for severe
8	acute cholangitis is fatal. Therefore, we undertook a study of the use new
9	devices that provide simultaneous detection of bacterial nucleic acid and AMR
10	genes to enable faster identification of the causative bacteria and AMR genes.
11	One of these devices is the Verigene system (Nanosphere Inc., Northbrook, IL,
12	USA), which was approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration in
13	January 2014 as a multichannel gene autoanalyzer using a microarray method
14	for the rapid identification of causative agents and AMR genes [14]. In June
15	2017, the Verigene system became covered by insurance in Japan for the
16	treatment of bloodstream infection [15]. According to previous studies, using
17	blood samples from patients with blood infection, the Verigene system showed
18	high accuracy in identifying the causative bacteria [16-18]. However, the

1	evaluation of a multichannel gene autoanalyzer using bile specimens has not
2	been reported to date.
3	In the present study, we conducted clinical research to investigate the utility of
4	the Verigene system for the detection of causative bacteria in bile samples from
5	patients with acute cholangitis and cholecystitis.
6	
7	Methods
8	Patients
9	In this study, we retrospectively analyzed consecutive patients who
10	experienced acute cholangitis and cholecystitis from June 2015 to November
11	2018. Inclusion criteria consisted of patients who provided written informed
12	consent, and from whom bile samples could be collected either percutaneously,
13	intraoperatively, or endoscopically. Meanwhile, exclusion criteria were as
14	follows: patients who did not provide written informed consent, those from
15	whom bile samples could not be collected, and patients younger than 20 years.
16	After applying the inclusion and exclusion criteria, 108 patients were enrolled in
17	this study, including 8 patients with acute cholangitis and 100 patients with

18 acute cholecystitis. The acute cholangitis group did not include patients who

1	had undergone hepaticojejunostomy. We followed the diagnosis and severity
2	gradings of TG18 for acute cholangitis and cholecystitis [19, 20]. Among the
3	patients with acute cholangitis, 3 cases were categorized as grade III severity, 4
4	cases as grade II, and 1 case as grade I; among those with acute cholecystitis,
5	4 cases were categorized as grade III, 59 cases as grade II, and 37 cases as
6	grade I.
7	The study protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee of Toho University
8	Ohashi Medical Center (approval nos.,14-58, H16045, and H17077) and
9	conducted in accordance with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki.
10	
10 11	Bile samples collection
	<i>Bile samples collection</i> As recommended by TG18, empirical antimicrobial therapy was initiated after
11	
11 12	As recommended by TG18, empirical antimicrobial therapy was initiated after
11 12 13	As recommended by TG18, empirical antimicrobial therapy was initiated after the diagnosis of acute cholangitis and cholecystitis [2, 3]. Based on the patient's
11 12 13 14	As recommended by TG18, empirical antimicrobial therapy was initiated after the diagnosis of acute cholangitis and cholecystitis [2, 3]. Based on the patient's conditions, the therapeutic strategy was decided on, such as percutaneous
 11 12 13 14 15 	As recommended by TG18, empirical antimicrobial therapy was initiated after the diagnosis of acute cholangitis and cholecystitis [2, 3]. Based on the patient's conditions, the therapeutic strategy was decided on, such as percutaneous drainage, endoscopic drainage, or surgery. The median duration from initiation

1	percutaneous collection, whereas in the acute cholecystitis group, 87 patients
2	underwent intraoperative, 4 patients endoscopic, and 9 patients percutaneous
3	collection. Bile samples were aseptically collected during surgery or via biliary
4	drainage and divided into three anaerobic porters (Kenki porter [®]). One porter
5	was immediately transferred to the microbiological department for conventional
6	culture and antimicrobial susceptibility tests; the others were immediately frozen
7	at –20°C for assessment using the multichannel gene autoanalyzer. In the
8	retrospective Verigene analysis, we used the thawed bile sample in the
9	Verigene system assay.
10	According to the number of isolated bacterial species, the cultured samples
11	were classified into two groups: monomicrobial and polymicrobial.
12	Monomicrobial refers to samples in which only one species of bacteria was
13	isolated in the bile culture, whereas polymicrobial refers to samples with
14	multiple species.
15	
16	Verigene system assays
17	Among the gram-positive bacteria, we simultaneously detected 12 bacterial

18 species (*Staphylococcus* spp., *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Staphylococcus*

1	epidermidis, Staphylococcus lugdunensis, Streptococcus spp., Streptococcus
2	pneumoniae, Streptococcus pyogenes, Streptococcus agalactiae,
3	Streptococcus anginosus group, Enterococcus faecalis, Enterococcus faecium,
4	and <i>Listeria</i> spp.) and three AMR genes (<i>mecA</i> , <i>vanA</i> , and <i>vanB</i>). In the gram-
5	negative bacteria, we detected nine bacterial species (Acinetobacter spp.,
6	Citrobacter spp., Enterobacter spp., E. coli, Klebsiella pneumoniae, Klebsiella
7	oxytoca, Proteus spp., Pseudomonas aeruginosa, and Serratia marcescens)
8	and six AMR genes (CTX-M, KPC, NDM, VIM, IMP, and OXA beta-lactamase
9	genes) [16]. In addition, it took less than 2.5 hours to obtain the results. We
10	performed the Verigene assay and analyzed the results based on the usual
11	methods for sepsis [16, 21]. The Verigene Processor Sp was inset to the
12	extraction tray, utility tray, and test cartridge. The dissolved bile (gram-positive,
13	350 $\mu L;$ gram-negative, 700 $\mu L)$ was then transferred to a specimen well located
14	in the extraction tray, and the Verigene processor was initiated. Nucleic acids
15	from the bile were extracted and hybridized to a microarray. After 2 hours, the
16	microarray was transferred to the Verigene reader for analysis. The Verigene
17	processor was opened after automated nucleic acid extraction and hybridization
18	to a glass array, and the array was transferred to the Verigene reader for

1	automated reporting of analysis and qualitative results. The Verigene assay
2	includes controls for nucleic acid extraction and array hybridization [6, 16].
3	
4	Antimicrobial susceptibility testing
5	Antimicrobial susceptibility test was performed according to NegEN Combo 1T
6	panels (Microscan Walkaway 96SI; Siemens) in accordance with the criteria of
7	the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute [22]. A disk-diffusion test
8	detected ESBL using cefotaxime, ceftazidime, and cefpodoxime with or without
9	clavulanate.
10	
11	Statistical analysis
12	Statistical analysis was conducted using the Fisher's exact test for categorical
13	data and the Mann–Whitney <i>U</i> test and Kruskal–Wallis test for continuous data.
14	Results were expressed as mean ± standard deviation. Statistical significance
15	was defined as $P < 0.05$. All statistical analyses were performed using EZR for
16	Windows, version 14.1 [23].
17	

18 Results

1	We enrolled 108 patients in the present study; microorganisms could be
2	cultured in 56 cases (51.9%). Table 1 shows patient characteristics in the bile
3	culture-positive and culture-negative groups. There was a significant difference
4	between groups in age, American Society of Anesthesiologists physical status
5	(ASA-PS), age-adjusted Charlson Comorbidity Index (CCI), body temperature
6	(BT), and total bilirubin. We performed blood culture testing in 19 cases, with
7	bacteremia diagnosed in seven cases. No mortality was recorded in this study.
8	In addition, among those underwent surgery for acute cholecystitis, no
9	postoperative complication was observed.
10	Table 2 presents the details of the bacterial species isolated in this study. Of
10 11	Table 2 presents the details of the bacterial species isolated in this study. Of these 56 samples, polymicrobial species were cultured from 23 samples.
11	these 56 samples, polymicrobial species were cultured from 23 samples.
11 12	these 56 samples, polymicrobial species were cultured from 23 samples. Finally, a total of 104 strains were isolated. The number of identified bacterial
11 12 13	these 56 samples, polymicrobial species were cultured from 23 samples. Finally, a total of 104 strains were isolated. The number of identified bacterial species among the polymicrobial species was as follows: 10 samples with 2
11 12 13 14	these 56 samples, polymicrobial species were cultured from 23 samples. Finally, a total of 104 strains were isolated. The number of identified bacterial species among the polymicrobial species was as follows: 10 samples with 2 species, 10 samples with 3 species, and 3 samples with more than 4 species.
 11 12 13 14 15 	these 56 samples, polymicrobial species were cultured from 23 samples. Finally, a total of 104 strains were isolated. The number of identified bacterial species among the polymicrobial species was as follows: 10 samples with 2 species, 10 samples with 3 species, and 3 samples with more than 4 species. Twenty-seven strains, which were not able to be identified by Verigene, mainly

1	Klebsiella spp. (20.2%), Enterobacter spp. (10.6%), Streptococcus spp. (8.7%),
2	Enterococcus spp. (7.7%), and Clostridium spp. (10.6%). In addition, 6 (25%) of
3	the 24 E. coli strains were determined to be ESBL-producing E. coli. Other AMR
4	such as carbapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae, vancomycin resistant
5	Enterococci, and methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus were not observed
6	in this study.
7	Table 3 shows the results of the Verigene assay in relation to the results of the
8	bile culture. In the bile culture-positive group, the same bacteria were
9	significantly identified by Verigene assay in 20 (35.7%) of the cases. In the bile
10	culture-negative group, no bacteria were identified by Verigene assay in 52
11	(100%) of the cases. Among the ESBL-producing <i>E. coli</i> , four of the six (66.7%)
12	strains were detected as having the CTX-M gene. In the monomicrobial group,
13	the same bacteria were identified by Verigene assay in 14 (42.4%) of the cases.
14	However, in the polymicrobial group, all bacteria were identified by Verigene
15	assay in six (26.1%) of the cases.
16	We performed additional quantitative analysis by the Verigene system using 1+
17	$(10^6 \text{ colony-forming unit (CFU)/mL})$ as the boundary, based on the results of the
18	bile culture test in the hospital. Table 4 shows the comparison of the number of

1 bacterial colonies in the bile culture based on the Verigene analysis.

2	Monomicrobial was detected in 15 cases (48.4%), with a maximum colony
3	quantity greater than 10 ⁶ CFU/mL group and in 18 cases (72.0%) with a
4	maximum colony quantity lower than 10 ⁶ CFU/mL group. Using the Verigene
5	assay, bile cultures with a maximum colony quantity greater than 10 ⁶ CFU/mL
6	indicated a significantly higher detection rate (58.1%; $p < 0.001$). In addition,
7	with regard to patient characteristics, the presence of bactibilia with a maximum
8	colony quantity of greater than 10 ⁶ CFU/mL was significantly associated with
9	higher BT levels (Table 5). In addition, the CRP value tended to be higher in this
10	group; however, no statistically significant difference was noted.
11	Table 6 shows a comparison of patient backgrounds between the Verigene
12	positive and negative groups. Age and CRP level were determined to be higher
13	in the Verigene positive group, as compared with the Verigene negative group;
14	however, we did not observe a statistically significant difference.
15	

16 **Discussion**

17 In the present study, we evaluated the multichannel gene autoanalyzer

18 Verigene using bile samples from patients with acute cholangitis and

1	cholecystitis. Our results show that Verigene was able to identify 35.7% of
2	bacteria in the bile culture-positive samples. In particular, the sensitivity was
3	significantly increased when the quantity of bacteria was greater than 10^6
4	CFU/mL. Furthermore, in patients with a bacterial quantity greater than 10 ⁶
5	CFU/mL, the BT and CRP levels were more likely to be higher.
6	The AMR of Enterobacteriaceae has been widely reported to be a causative
7	microorganism of community-acquired intra-abdominal infections [24]. In
8	particular, bacteria that produce ESBL and carbapenemase (i.e., metallo-beta-
9	lactamase and non-metallo-beta-lactamase) have a significant effect on the
10	choice of empirical treatment for patients with intra-abdominal infection,
11	including acute cholangitis and cholecystitis [25]. In a prospective cohort study
12	in 567 patients with acute cholecystitis involving 116 institutions worldwide,
13	researchers showed that 16 of 96 isolated <i>E. coli</i> (16.7%) produced ESBL [26].
14	The TG18, the international practice guidelines for acute cholangitis and
15	cholecystitis, summarizes the antimicrobial agents to be used in patients with
16	community-acquired and healthcare-associated acute cholangitis and
17	cholecystitis [3]. In addition, the antimicrobial agents recommended for
18	community-acquired infections are classified based on the severity grading.

1	Asai et al. [27] reported significant differences in patient characteristics (age,
2	BT, and CRP) in the bile culture-positive cases. In addition, in the evaluation of
3	comorbidities, we found significant differences in ASA-PS and age-adjusted CCI
4	in the bile culture-positive cases in this study. The TG18 lists the bacteria
5	commonly found in biliary tract infections [3]. The most frequently isolated
6	bacteria are <i>E. coli</i> , followed by <i>Klebsiella</i> spp. In gram-positive bacteria,
7	Enterococcus spp. and Streptococcus spp. were frequently isolated. These
8	findings are similar to the results of our study. With regard to the isolation of
9	AMR, ESBL-producing <i>E. coli</i> was isolated in 25% of cases in this study. We did
10	not observe any other evidence of antimicrobial resistance.
10 11	not observe any other evidence of antimicrobial resistance. We showed that the Verigene assay has 35.7% sensitivity and 100%
11	We showed that the Verigene assay has 35.7% sensitivity and 100%
11 12	We showed that the Verigene assay has 35.7% sensitivity and 100% specificity for identifying the causative agent and 66.7% sensitivity and 100%
11 12 13	We showed that the Verigene assay has 35.7% sensitivity and 100% specificity for identifying the causative agent and 66.7% sensitivity and 100% specificity for identifying CTX-M. Previous studies have evaluated the Verigene
11 12 13 14	We showed that the Verigene assay has 35.7% sensitivity and 100% specificity for identifying the causative agent and 66.7% sensitivity and 100% specificity for identifying CTX-M. Previous studies have evaluated the Verigene assay in sepsis [28, 29]. Those studies reported a sensitivity as high as 96.3%–
 11 12 13 14 15 	We showed that the Verigene assay has 35.7% sensitivity and 100% specificity for identifying the causative agent and 66.7% sensitivity and 100% specificity for identifying CTX-M. Previous studies have evaluated the Verigene assay in sepsis [28, 29]. Those studies reported a sensitivity as high as 96.3%– 97.4% when using blood samples. The result of the Verigene assay for bile

1	significant difference. In a previous study, Ledeboer et al. [16] reported a
2	reduced detection rate of multiple molecular assays, including the Verigene
3	assay, in polymicrobial cultures. Dodemont et al. [30] reported that false-
4	negative findings in the presence of a polymicrobial are due to the signal
5	interference between multiple capture probes on the array or to low quantity
6	near the limit of detection. In this present study, 23 samples (21.3%) were
7	determined to be polymicrobial, which was considered to one of the reasons for
8	the decrease in sensitivity.
9	There has been no previous evaluation study of the Verigene assay using bile
10	samples; thus, we were unable to compare our results with those of other
11	studies. However, we speculated that the result might be related to the quantity
12	of bacteria in the bile. Comparison of Verigene assay results with a maximum
13	colony quantity in bile culture showed that the sensitivity was significantly higher
14	(58.1%) in patients with greater than 10 ⁶ CFU/mL in bile culture. Typically, in
15	blood stream infections, blood samples are incubated until the blood cultures
16	are positive before the test [6, 16, 21]. On the other hand, in our study, we
17	expected that the quantity of bacteria in the bile would be lower than in blood
18	samples, because the bile was not preincubated to prevent the growth of

polymicrobial that are naturally present in the bile. Therefore, it is possible that,
in our study, the Verigene assay was unable to detect bile samples with low
bacterial quantity. According to Nanosphere Inc., the limit of detection of the
Verigene assay ranges from 10⁵ to 10⁷ CFU/mL [31], which is similar to our
findings.

6 As compared to this study, the 58.1% sensitivity in our study is by no means 7 high. Based on the limits of the Verigene assay, a comparison of patient characteristics and colony quantity showed higher inflammation in samples with 8 9 a maximum colony quantity of greater than 10⁶ CFU/mL in bile culture. In our 10 previous study, we reported that higher inflammation factors, including older age (\geq 65 years), elevated BT (\geq 37.5 °C), and high serum CRP levels (\geq 13 mg/dL), 11 12 were significant risk factors for positive bile cultures [27]. Therefore, our results suggest that a maximum colony quantity of greater than 10⁶ CFU/mL in bile 13 14 culture could indicate infectious acute cholangitis and cholecystitis. Moreover, in such cases, the Verigene assay could enable the quick detection of the 15 16 causative bacteria, which may be very useful in actual clinical practice. 17 However, the Verigene assay is not adequately sensitive, so even if Verigene is 18 negative for bactibilia, the physician should use clinical judgment to determine

1	the presence of infectious cholangitis and cholecystitis in patients of older age,
2	with high BT, and with high CRP levels to administer the appropriate
3	antimicrobial agents.
4	The quick detection of causative bacteria in acute cholangitis and cholecystitis
5	using Verigene is expected to be a breakthrough diagnostic method in the
6	future, and if this diagnostic method is standardized, it is expected to result in
7	great progress in the selection of antimicrobial agent. However, this study has
8	some limitations: (1) This study was conducted retrospectively and the number
9	of cases was small. These factors led to the low sensitivity of the Verigene
10	assay. Thus, future studies are needed to prospectively collect more cases and
11	reevaluate the sensitivity of this assay. (2) The bile samples were frozen and
12	thawed during the test. This differs from the actual clinical practice, in which the
13	bile is immediately used after collection. (3) Currently, there is no panel in the
14	Verigene system to detect anaerobic bacteria. Therefore, we excluded
15	anaerobic bacteria including Bacteroides spp. and Clostridium spp. from the
16	evaluation. In the future, it is expected that reagent development for anaerobic
17	bacteria will be required.

In conclusion, the multichannel gene autoanalyzer Verigene system is a new 18

20

- 1 device that might be used to rapidly detect the causative bacteria in patients
- 2 with infectious acute cholangitis and cholecystitis.
- 3

4 **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

- 5 We extend our deep appreciation to the corporations who participated in this
- 6 survey. The corporate institution and members were follows: Laboratory of
- 7 Bacterial Genomics, Pathogen Genomics Center, National Institute of Infectious
- 8 Diseases (Itokawa K, Hashino M, Yatsu H, and Tanaka R).
- 9 This study was supported by JSPS KAKENHI (grant JP18K08633) and a
- 10 Grant-in-Aid from the Japan Agency for Medical Research and Development
- 11 (AMED) under grant JP19fk0108048.
- 12

13 CONFLICT OF INTEREST

- 14 The authors declare no conflicts of interest associated with this manuscript.
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19

1 **REFFERENCES**

- Takada T, Takada T. Tokyo Guidelines 2018: updated Tokyo Guidelines for
 the management of acute cholangitis/acute cholecystitis. Journal of hepato-
- 4 biliary-pancreatic sciences. 2018; 25:1-2.
- 5 2. Mayumi T, Okamoto K, Takada T, Strasberg SM. Tokyo Guidelines 2018:
- 6 management bundles for acute cholangitis and cholecystitis. Journal of hepato-
- 7 biliary-pancreatic sciences. 2018; 25:96-100.
- 8 3. Gomi H, Solomkin JS, Schlossberg D, Okamoto K. Tokyo Guidelines 2018:
- 9 antimicrobial therapy for acute cholangitis and cholecystitis. Journal of hepato-
- 10 biliary-pancreatic sciences. 2018; 25:3-16.
- 11 4. Johnson AP, Woodford N. Global spread of antibiotic resistance: the example
- 12 of New Delhi metallo-beta-lactamase (NDM)-mediated carbapenem resistance.
- 13 J Med Microbiol. 2013; 62:499-513.
- 14 5. Shibasaki M, Komatsu M, Sueyoshi N, Maeda M. Community spread of
- 15 extended-spectrum β-lactamase-producing bacteria detected in social
- 16 insurance hospitals throughout Japan. Journal of infection and chemotherapy :
- 17 official journal of the Japan Society of Chemotherapy. 2016; 22:395-399.
- 18 6. Kwon JS, Han J, Kim TW, Oh JH, Kwon HH, Jung JT, et al. Changes in
- 19 causative pathogens of acute cholangitis and their antimicrobial susceptibility
- 20 over a period of 6 years. Korean J Gastroenterol. 2014; 63:299-307.
- 7. Tantry B, Shenoy S, Jain A, Gopal S. Clinicomicrobiological analysis of
- 22 patients with cholangitis. Indian journal of medical microbiology. 2014; 32:157.
- 8. Jolivet S, Vaillant L, Poncin T, Lolom I, Gaudonnet Y, Rondinaud E, et al.
- 24 Prevalence of carriage of extended-spectrum beta-lactamase-producing
- 25 enterobacteria and associated factors in a French hospital. Clin Microbiol Infect.
- 26 **2018**; **24**:1311-1314.
- 9. World Health Organization. Draft global action plan on antimicrobial
- resistance. http://apps.who.int/gb/ebwha/pdf_files/WHA68/A68_20-en.pdf.

- 1 10. Ministerial meeting on countermeasures against infectious diseases that
- 2 pose an international threat in Japan. National Action Plan on Antimicrobial
- 3 Resistance . 2016. https://www.mhlw.go.jp/file/06-Seisakujouhou-
- 4 1090000-Kenkoukyoku/0000120769.pdf.
- 5 11. Kujiraoka M, Kuroda M, Asai K, Sekizuka T. Comprehensive Diagnosis of
- 6 Bacterial Infection Associated with Acute Cholecystitis Using Metagenomic
- 7 Approach. Frontiers in microbiology. 2017; 8.
- 12. Chan JZ, Pallen MJ, Oppenheim B, Constantinidou C. Genome sequencing
 in clinical microbiology. Nat Biotechnol. 2012; 30:1068-1071.
- 10 13. Takeuchi F, Sekizuka T, Yamashita A, Ogasawara Y. MePIC, Metagenomic 11 Pathogen Identification for Clinical Specimens. Jpn J Infect Dis. 2014; 67:62-65.
- 12 14. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. January 2014 510(k)
- 13 Clearances. 2014. http://wayback.archive-
- 14 it.org/7993/20170112093531/http://www.fda.gov/MedicalDevices/ProductsandM
- 15 edicalProcedures/DeviceApprovalsandClearances/510kClearances/ucm384648
- 16 .htm.
- 17 15. Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare (Japan). About insurance coverage
- 18 for clinical tests. 2017. https://www.mhlw.go.jp/file/05-Shingikai-12404000-
- 19 Hokenkyoku-Iryouka/0000164973.pdf.
- 16. Ledeboer NA, Ledeboer NA, Lopansri BK, Dhiman N, Cavagnolo R.
- 21 Identification of Gram-Negative Bacteria and Genetic Resistance Determinants
- 22 from Positive Blood Culture Broths by Use of the Verigene Gram-Negative
- 23 Blood Culture Multiplex Microarray-Based Molecular Assay. J Clin Microbiol.
- 24 **2015**; **53**:**2460-2472**.
- 17. Beal SG, Ciurca J, Smith G, John J, Lee F, Doern CD, et al. Evaluation of
- the nanosphere verigene gram-positive blood culture assay with the
- 27 VersaTREK blood culture system and assessment of possible impact on
- selected patients. J Clin Microbiol. 2013; 51:3988-3992.
- 18. Samuel LP, Samuel LP, Tibbetts RJ, Agotesku A, Fey M. Evaluation of a
- 30 Microarray-Based Assay for Rapid Identification of Gram-Positive Organisms

and Resistance Markers in Positive Blood Cultures. J Clin Microbiol. 2013;

2 **51:2015-2015**.

19. Yokoe M. Tokyo Guidelines 2018: diagnostic criteria and severity grading of
acute cholecystitis (with videos). Journal of hepato-biliary-pancreatic sciences.
2018; 25:41-54.

- 6 20. Kiriyama S, Kozaka K, Takada T, Strasberg SM, Pitt HA, Gabata T, et al.
- 7 Tokyo Guidelines 2018: diagnostic criteria and severity grading of acute
- 8 cholangitis (with videos). J Hepatobiliary Pancreat Sci. 2018; 25:17-30.
- 9 21. Kim J, Kim J, Kang G, Kim H, Song W. Evaluation of Verigene Blood
- 10 Culture Test Systems for Rapid Identification of Positive Blood Cultures.
- BioMed research international. 2016; 2016:1-6.
- 12 22. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, Patel JB. Performance
- 13 standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing: twenty-eighth informational
- supplement. Wayne, PA: Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute; 2018.
- 15 23. Kanda Y. Investigation of the freely available easy-to-use software 'EZR' for
- medical statistics. Bone marrow transplantation (Basingstoke). 2013; 48:452-458.
- 18 24. Rossi F, Rossi F. In vitro susceptibilities of aerobic and facultatively
- 19 anaerobic Gram-negative bacilli isolated from patients with intra-abdominal
- 20 infections worldwide: 2004 results from SMART (Study for Monitoring
- Antimicrobial Resistance Trends). J Antimicrob Chemother. 2006; 58:205-210.
- 22 25. Peirano G, Peirano G, van der Bij, A. K, Gregson DB, Pitout JDD. Molecular
- 23 Epidemiology over an 11-Year Period (2000 to 2010) of Extended-Spectrum -
- Lactamase-Producing Escherichia coli Causing Bacteremia in a Centralized
- 25 Canadian Region. J Clin Microbiol. 2012; 50:294-299.

26 26. Coccolini F, Sartelli M, Catena F, Montori G, Di Saverio S, Sugrue M, et al.

- Antibiotic resistance pattern and clinical outcomes in acute cholecystitis: 567
- consecutive worldwide patients in a prospective cohort study. Int J Surg. 2015;
- 29 **21:32-37**.

1 2	27. Asai K, Watanabe M, Kusachi S, Tanaka H, Matsukiyo H, Osawa A, et al.
2	Bacteriological analysis of bile in acute cholecystitis according to the Tokyo guidelines. J Hepatobiliary Pancreat Sci. 2012; 19:476-486.
4	28. Tojo M, Fujita T, Ainoda Y, Nagamatsu M. Evaluation of an automated rapid
5 6	diagnostic assay for detection of gram-negative bacteria and their drug- resistance genes in positive blood cultures. PloS one. 2014; 9:e94064.
7	29. Dodemont M, De Mendonca R, Nonhoff C, Roisin S, Denis O. Performance
8	of the Verigene Gram-negative blood culture assay for rapid detection of
9	bacteria and resistance determinants. J Clin Microbiol. 2014; 52:3085-3087.
10	30. Dodemont M, De Mendonca R, Nonhoff C, Roisin S, Denis O. Evaluation of
11	Verigene Gram-Positive Blood Culture Assay performance for bacteremic
12	patients. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis. 2015; 34:473-477.
13	31. Hitachi High-Tech Co. L. Verigene®Blood culture gram-negative bacteria /
14	drug resistant nucleic acid test(BC-GN). 2016. https://www.hitachi-
15	hightech.com/jp/science/products/medical-systems/clinical-analyzers/gene-
16	examination/verigene.html.
17	
18	
19	
20	
20	
21	
0.0	
22	
23	
24	
25	
10	

1 Table Legends

- **Table 1** Patient characteristics according to the bile culture results
- **Table 2** List of bacterial species isolated from the bile culture
- **Table 3** Results of the Verigene assay in relation to the bile culture results
- **Table 4** Correlation between the maximum colony quantity of the strain
- 6 isolated in bile culture and results of the Verigene assay
- **Table 5** Correlation between the maximum colony quantity of the strain
- 8 isolated in the bile culture and patient characteristics
- **Table 6** Patient characteristics according to the Verigene results

Table 1: Patient characteristics according to the bile culture results

Factor	Bile culture positive (n=56)	Bile culture negative (n=52)	р
Primary disease (Cholecystitis / Cholangitis)	Aug-48	52 / 0	0.006
Methods of the bile collection (Intraoperative / Endoscopic / Percutaneous)	43 / 10 / 3	44 / 1 / 7	0.009
Sex (M / F)	32 / 24	30 / 22	1.000
Age (years)	74 (31 - 93)	67 (30 - 93)	0.029
ASA-PS	2 (1 - 4)	2 (1 - 4)	0.021
Charlson comorbidity index	5 (0 - 11)	3 (0 - 11)	0.003
Severity of TG18 (Grage I / II / III)	15 / 36 / 5	23 / 27 / 2	0.141
Body Temparture (°C)	38.1 (36.4 - 39.7)	37.6 (36.7 - 38.8)	< 0.001
White blood cell (/µl)	11,500 (5,600 - 32,700)	12,500 (4,900 - 26,400)	0.837
C-reactive protein (mg/dL)	12.62 (0.04 - 39.48)	7.62 (0.07 - 34.00)	0.190
T-Bil (mg/dL)	1.7 (0.7 - 8.4)	1.4 (0.5 - 4.6)	0.040
Blood culture test (Present / Absent)	15 / 41	4 / 48	0.011
Blood culture positive (Positive / Negative)	6 / 9	1 / 3	1.000
Duration antimicrobial treatment before bile collection (h)	12 (0 - 440)	21 (0 - 512)	0.236
Duration from onset to admission (h)	26 (0 - 432)	19 (0 - 268)	0.624
Duration from admission to bile collection (h)	16 (0 - 351)	23 (1 - 528)	0.107
Duration from onset to bile collection (h)	51 (4 - 528)	59 (3 - 528)	0.481

ASA-PS : American society of anesthesiologists - physical status

Escherichia coli	24
ESBL producing <i>E. coli</i>	(6)
Klebsiella spp.	21
Klebsiella pneumoniae	(16)
Klebsiella oxytoca	(5)
Enterobacter spp.	11
Enterobacter cloacae	(8)
Proteus spp.	1
Citrobacter freundii	3
Gram positive bacteria (n=17 strains)	
Enterococcus spp.	8
Streptococcus spp.	9
Other (n=27 strains)	
Clostridium spp.	11
Clostridium perfringense	(9)
Bacteroides spp.	3
Others	13

Table 2: List of bacterial species isolated from the bile culture

	Verigene positive (n=20) V	erigene negative (n=88)	р
Bile culture positive (n=56)	20	36	< 0.001
Bile culture negative (n=52)	0	52	< 0.001
	Verigene positive (n=20) V	erigene negative (n=36)	р
Monomicrobial (n=33)	Verigene positive (n=20) Verigene positive (n=	erigene negative (n=36) 19	<i>p</i> 0.264

Table 3: Results of the Verigene assay in relation to the bile culture results

Maximum colony quantity (CFU/mL)	Verigene positive (n=20)	Verigene negative (n=36)	р	
[Mono / Polymicrobial]	[Mono / Polymicrobial]	[Mono / Polymicrobial]		
$\geq 10^6 \text{ (n=31)}$	18	13	< 0.001	
[15 / 16]	[12 / 6]	[3 / 10]		
< 10 ⁶ (n=25)	2	23	< 0.001	
[18 / 7]	[2 / 0]	[16 / 7]		

 Table 4: Correlation between the maximum colony quantity of the strain isolated in bile culture and results of the Verigene assay

Factors	Maxii			
	Bile culture posiive $\geq 10^{6}$ (n=31)	Bile culture posiive < 10 ⁶ (n=25)	Bile culture negative (n=52)	р
Sex (M / F)	15 / 16	17 / 8	30 / 22	0.356
Age	69 (31 - 93)	76 (37 - 89)	67 (30 - 93)	0.091
Body temparture (°C)	38.2 (36.4 - 39.7)	37.9 (37.1 - 39.6)	37.6 (36.7 - 38.8)	< 0.001
White blood cell (/ μ l)	11,500 (5,600 - 32,700)	11,700 (6,200 - 25,900)	12,500 (4,900 - 26,400)	0.644
C-reactive protein (mg/dL)	14.34 (0.04 - 28.52)	9.49 (0.05 - 39.48)	7.62 (0.07 - 34.00)	0.352

 Table 5: Correlation between the maximum colony quantity of the strain isolated in bile culture and patient characteristics

Table 6: Patient characteristics according to the Verigene results

Factor	Verigene positive (n=20)	Verigene negative (n=88)	р
Primary disease (Cholecystitis / Cholangitis)	18 / 2	82 / 6	0.639
Methods of the bile collection (Cholecystectomy / Endoscopic / Percutaneous)	16 / 3 / 1	71 / 8 / 9	0.652
Sex (M / F)	11/9	35 / 53	0.224
Age (years)	73 (53 - 89)	70 (30 - 93)	0.207
ASA-PS	2 (1 - 4)	2 (1 - 4)	0.611
Charlson comorbidity index	5 (2 - 10)	4 (0 - 11)	0.271
Severity of TG18 (Grage I / II / III)	6 / 13 / 1	32 / 50 / 6	0.848
Body Temparture (°C)	38.2 (36.4 - 39.7)	37.7 (36.7 - 39.7)	0.079
White blood cell (/µl)	11,700 (5,600 - 23,400)	12,200 (4,900 - 32,700)	0.997
C-reactive protein (mg/dL)	15.61 (0.05 - 26.37)	8.81 (0.04 - 39.48)	0.318
T-Bil (mg/dL)	1.8 (0.7 - 4.6)	1.4 (0.5 - 8.4)	0.692
Isolated bacterial species (Mono / Poly)	14 / 6	19 / 17	0.256
Blood culture test (Present / Absent)	5 / 15	14 / 74	0.340
Blood culture positive (Positive / Negative)	4 / 1	3 / 11	0.038
Duration antimicrobial treatment before bile collecti	4 (0 - 144)	19 (0 - 512)	0.104
Duration from onset to admission (h)	35 (0 - 144)	22 (0 - 432)	0.593
Duration from admission to bile collection (h)	7 (3 - 72)	23 (1 - 528)	0.027
Duration from onset to bile collection (h)	54 (10 - 152)	53 (3 - 528)	0.462

ASA-PS : American society of anesthesiologists - physical status